

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MICHAEL TROY MOORE,  
Plaintiff,

V.

UNITED FOOD AND COMMERCIAL  
WORKERS 8 GOLDEN STATE, et al.,

## Defendants.

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01911-RFB-NJK

## ORDER

[Docket No. 11]

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to declare that he has been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in this case. Docket No. 11. The motion is properly resolved without a hearing. *See* Local Rule 78-1.

13 On December 7, 2020, the Court granted Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma*  
14 *pauperis*. Docket No. 7. The Court therefore **GRANTS** Plaintiff's motion. Docket No. 11.  
15 Further, the Court **AMENDS** its order at Docket No. 10 as follows: No later than April 6, 2021,  
16 Plaintiff shall file an Amended Complaint, if the noted deficiencies can be corrected. If Plaintiff  
17 chooses to amend the complaint, Plaintiff is informed that the Court cannot refer to a prior pleading  
18 (i.e., the original Complaint) in order to make the Amended Complaint complete. This is because,  
19 as a general rule, an Amended Complaint supersedes the original Complaint. Local Rule 15-1(a)  
20 requires that an Amended Complaint be complete in itself without reference to any prior pleading.  
21 Once a plaintiff files an Amended Complaint, the original Complaint no longer serves any function  
22 in the case. Therefore, in an Amended Complaint, as in an original Complaint, each claim and the  
23 involvement of each Defendant must be sufficiently alleged. **Failure to comply with this order**  
24 **will result in a recommendation that this case be dismissed.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 25, 2021

  
Nancy J. Koppe  
United States Magistrate Judge